

Mine Tailings Disclosure Table

<p>Overview question: Please a) Provide an overview of your tailings management system, and how you manage risk b) Confirm whether your approach to tailings management has changed or will change in light of the recent tailings disasters at Brumadinho, Mariana, Mt Polley and others. Have you, for example, reviewed all tailings storage facilities with upstream dam construction, and taken steps necessary to protect local communities and the environment e.g. buttressing, evacuation?</p>	<p>Overview answer: a) Tailings currently produced by the mine are stored in a Dry Stack Facility and as Pastefill for underground support, 85% and 15%, respectively. A modern filter press system removes 85% of the humidity from the tails. The consolidated dry tailings are placed in our depleted open pit. In the dry stack method, tailings are placed and compacted in a mound that is concurrently reclaimed with native soil and vegetation. There's no need for a dam to hold them in place, no possibility of dam failure, and no long-term storage issues. b) To reduce the risk related to a traditional tailings storage facility, GRC switched to Dry Stacking in January 2022.</p>
---	---

The remaining questions should be answered by listing all of the tailings facilities you are responsible for or associated with as of December 31, 2022.

1. "Tailings Dam" Name/Identifier	2. Location	3. Ownership	4. Status	5. Date of initial operation	6. Is the Dam currently operated or closed as per currently approved design?	7. Raising method	8. Current Maximum Height	9. Current Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume	10. Planned Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume in 5 years time.	11. Most recent Independent Expert Review	12. Do you have full and complete relevant engineering records including design, construction, operation, maintenance and/or closure.	13. What is your hazard categorisation of this facility, based on consequence of failure?	14. What guideline do you follow for the classification system?	15. Has this facility, at any point in its history, failed to be confirmed or certified as stable, or experienced notable stability concerns, as	16. Do you have internal/in house engineering specialist oversight of this facility? Or do you have external engineering support for	17. Has a formal analysis of the downstream impact on communities, ecosystems and critical infrastructure in the event of catastrophic	18. Is there a) a closure plan in place for this dam, and b) does it include long term monitoring?	19. Have you, or do you plan to assess your tailings facilities against the impact of more regular extreme weather events as a	20. Any other relevant information and supporting documentation. Please state if you have omitted any other
<p><i>Instructions to support completion</i></p> <p>Please identify every tailings storage facility and identify if there are multiple dams (saddle or secondary dams) within that facility. Please provide details of these within question 20.</p> <p>Please provide Long/Lat coordinates</p> <p>Please specify: Owned and Operated, Subsidiary, JV, NOJV, as of December 31, 2022.</p> <p>Please specify: Active, Inactive/Care and Maintenance, Closed etc. We take closed to mean: a closure plan was developed and approved by the relevant local government agency, and key stakeholders were involved in its development; a closed facility means the noted approved closure plan was fully implemented or the closure plan is in the process of being implemented. A facility that is inactive or under C&M is not considered closed until such time a closure plan has been implemented.</p> <p>(date)</p> <p>Yes/No. If 'No', more information can be provided in the answer to Q20</p> <p>Note: Upstream, Centreline, Modified Centreline, Downstream, Landform, Other.</p> <p>Note: Please disclose in metres</p> <p>Note: (m3 as of December 31, 2022)</p> <p>(m3 as planned for January 2027)</p> <p>(date) For this question we take "Independent" to mean a suitably qualified individual or team, external to the Operation, that does not direct the design or construction work for that facility.</p> <p>(Yes or No) We take the word "relevant" here to mean that you have all necessary documents to make an informed and substantiated decision on the safety of the dam, be it an old facility, or an acquisition, or legacy site. More information can be provided in your answer to Q20</p> <p>(Yes or No) We note that this will depend on factors including local legislation that are not necessarily tied to best practice. As such, and because remedial action may have been taken, a "Yes" answer may not indicate heightened risk. Stability concerns might include toe seepage, dam movement, overtopping, spillway failure, piping etc. If yes, have appropriately designed and reviewed mitigation actions been implemented? We also</p> <p>Note: Answers may be "Both".</p> <p>Note: Please answer 'yes' or 'no', and if 'yes', provide a date.</p> <p>Please answer both parts of this question (e.g. Yes and Yes)</p> <p>(Yes or No)</p> <p>Note: this may include links to annual report disclosures, further information in the public domain, guidelines or reports etc.</p>																			
<p>TSF 1-2: Consisting of a facility limited by natural ground and two dams, North and East TSF 3: Consisting of a facility limited by natural ground and two dams, South and East. The East dam is the same for both TSF 1-2 and TSF 3 (divides them). Dry Stack: tailings are placed and compacted in a mound that is concurrently reclaimed with native soil and vegetation. There's no need for a dam to hold them in place, no possibility of dam failure, and avoiding long-term storage issues.</p>	<p>TSF 1-2: Latitude from 16°41'23.10" to 16°41'9.47" Longitude from 96°7'16.20" to 96°7'5.75" TSF 3: Latitude from 16°41'22.94" to 16°41'22" Longitude from 96°7'5.77" to 96°6'52.11" Dry Stack: Latitude from 16°41'01" to 16°41'10" Longitude from 96°07'09" to 96°07'03"</p>	<p>Owned and Operated by Don David Gold Mexico SA de CV. Wholly subsidiary of Gold Resource Corporation.</p>	<p>TSF 1-2: Closed TSF 3: C&M Dry Stack: Active</p>	<p>TSF 1-2: August 2009 TSF 3: September 2015 Dry Stack: January 2022</p>	<p>TSF 1-2: No TSF 3: No Dry Stack: Yes, Active</p>	<p>TSF 1-2-3: Downstream Dry Stack: Consolidated tails, 14% humidity.</p>	<p>TSF 1-2: North Dam 120 meters. East Dam 20 meters TSF 3: South Dam 80 meters. Dry Stack: 60 meters within the pit</p>	<p>TSF 1-2: 1,400,000 m3 TSF 3: 1,460,000 m3 Dry Stack: 1,300,000 m3</p>	<p>TSF 1-2: 1,400,000 m3 TSF 3: 1,460,000 m3. Don David Gold Mexico initiated a Paste Plant, Filtration Plant and Dry Stacking; therefore, a new conventional TSF impoundment is no longer required.</p>	<p>TSF 1-2-3: Tierra Group Intl. June 2019 Dry Stack: Knight Piezel 2023</p>	<p>TSF 1-2-3: Yes Dry Stack: Yes</p>	<p>TSF 1-2-3: Low Dry Stack: Low</p>	<p>Downstream Consequence of Failure Classification Interpretation Guideline, Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations, BRITISH COLUMBIA (2011). The design complies with all Mexican regulations on the matter; however, as a public company Gold Resource Corp abides by international guidelines.</p>	<p>TSF 1-2-3: No Dry Stack: No</p>	<p>TSF 1-2-3: Both Dry Stack: Both</p>	<p>TSF 1-2: No. Downstream of the North Dike there are no communities or infrastructure present. TSF 3: Yes, September 2014. Dry Stack: N/A</p>	<p>TSF 1-2: Yes and Yes TSF 3: Yes and Yes. Dry Stack: Yes</p>	<p>TSF 1-2-3: Yes. Last evaluation for stability was done with the impact of a 10,000 year storm return period. Dry Stack: Yes. Last evaluation for stability was done with the impact of a 10,000 year storm return period.</p>	



Dry Stack Facility